



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/611,675	07/02/2003	John C. Marshall	6247.310 CIP	5349	
7590 06/30/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Joseph W. Berenato, III			MCCOY, I	MCCOY, KIMYA N	
Liniak, Berenato & White Suite 240			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
6550 Rock Spring Dr. Bethesda, MD 20817			3745		
			DATE MAILED: 06/30/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/611,675	MARSHALL, JOHN C.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kimya N McCoy	3745				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may and a reply within the statutory minimum of the criod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become a	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
·	· _					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-57 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-53,55 and 57 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 54 and 56 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the ∞ 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e: a) accepted or b) object the drawing(s) be held in abeyon prrection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in priority documents have bee ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	8) Paper N	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Art Unit: 3745

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

This application, filed under former 37 CFR 1.60, lacks formal drawings. The informal drawings filed in this application are acceptable for examination purposes. When the application is allowed, applicant will be required to submit new formal drawings. In unusual circumstances, the formal drawings from the abandoned parent application may be transferred by the grant of a petition under 37 CFR 1.182.

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-49, 50, 51, and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-49, 52, 50 and 51 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,709,238. This is a double patenting rejection.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA

Application/Control Number: 10/611,675

Art Unit: 3745

1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 53, 55, and 57 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 45, 45 and 40 of U.S. Patent No. 6,709,238. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other.

Claim 45 of the patent "anticipates" application claim 53. Accordingly, application claim 53 is not patentably distinct from patent claim 45. Here, patent claim 45 requires a ceiling fan with a two-piece safety mechanism comprising; a mounting bracket, a ceiling support, a downrod, a hanger ball secured to a mounting bracket, a first cable having a fastener and a connector, and a second cable having a fastener and a connector; said first and second cables being releaseably attached forming one continuous cable while application claim 53 only requires a hanger ball, a major portion, a ceiling fan, a mounting bracket, a first cable having a fastener and a connector and a second cable having a fastener and a connector; said first and second cables being releaseably attached forming one continuous cable. Thus it is apparent that the more specific patent claim 45 encompasses application claim 53. Following the rationale in In re Goodman cited in the preceding paragraph, where applicant has once been granted a patent containing a claim for the specific or narrower invention, applicant may not then

Application/Control Number: 10/611,675

Art Unit: 3745

obtain a second patent with a claim for the generic or broader invention without first submitting an appropriate terminal disclaimer. Note that since Application claim 53 is anticipated by Patent claim 45 and since anticipation is the epitome of obviousness, then Application claim 53 is obvious over Patent claim 45.

Claim 45 of the patent "anticipates" application claim 55. Accordingly, application claim 55 is not patentably distinct from patent claim 45. Here, patent claim 45 requires a ceiling fan with a two-piece safety mechanism comprising; a mounting bracket, a ceiling support, a downrod, a hanger ball secured to a mounting bracket, a first cable having a fastener and a connector, and a second cable having a fastener and a connector; said first and second cables being releaseably attached forming one continuous cable while application claim 55 only requires a hanger ball, a major portion, a ceiling fan, a mounting bracket, a first cable having a fastener and a connector and a second cable having a fastener and a connector; said first and second cables being releaseably attached forming one continuous cable. Thus it is apparent that the more specific patent claim 45 encompasses application claim 55. Following the rationale in In re Goodman cited in the preceding paragraph, where applicant has once been granted a patent containing a claim for the specific or narrower invention, applicant may not then obtain a second patent with a claim for the generic or broader invention without first submitting an appropriate terminal disclaimer. Note that since Application claim 55 is anticipated by Patent claim 45 and since anticipation is the epitome of obviousness, then Application claim 55 is obvious over Patent claim 45.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,675 Page 5

Art Unit: 3745

Claim 40 of the patent "anticipates" application claim 57. Accordingly, application claim 57 is not patentably distinct from patent claim 40. Here, patent claim 40 requires an electrical box, a fastening mechanism, an opening, a ceiling fan with a two-piece safety mechanism comprising; a mounting bracket, a ceiling support, a motor, a otor housing, fan blades, electrical wires, a downrod, a hanger ball secured to a mounting bracket, a first cable having a fastener and a connector, and a second cable having a fastener and a connector; said first and second cables being releaseably attached forming one continuous cable while application claim 57 only requires an electrical box, electrical wires, a first cable having a fastener and a connector and a second cable having a fastener and a connector; said first and second cables being releaseably attached forming one continuous cable. Thus it is apparent that the more specific patent claim 40 encompasses application claim 57. Following the rationale in In re-Goodman cited in the preceding paragraph, where applicant has once been granted a patent containing a claim for the specific or narrower invention, applicant may not then obtain a second patent with a claim for the generic or broader invention without first submitting an appropriate terminal disclaimer. Note that since Application claim 57 is anticipated by Patent claim 40 and since anticipation is the epitome of obviousness, then Application claim 57 is obvious over Patent claim 40.

Claims 54 and 56 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim.

Art Unit: 3745

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimya N McCoy whose telephone number is (703) 305-0863. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30 AM-6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward K Look can be reached on (703) 308-1044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kimya N McCoy

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3745

KNM

June 17, 2004

EDWARD K. LOOK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

6/26/04